

Appendix 8: Summary of Panel Rating from Initial Round

A summary of the mean ratings from the initial screening round is presented below. Panelists rated the candidate indicators in the dimensions of meaningfulness, usefulness, potential for improvement, impact on outcomes, feasibility and an overall rating. Panelists rated these indicators on an ordinal scale from 1 = do not include, 2 = little reason to include, 3 = could include, 4 = should include, or 5 = must include. Indicators with mean overall rating scores of 4.0 or greater were selected for further discussion and rating by the panellists. Of the remaining indicators with overall rating < 4.0, panel consensus dictated whether these indicators would be selected for the final set of quality indicators.

Candidate Indicator		Overall	Meaningful	Useful	Improvement	Impact	Feasible
1.0100	Aspirin Rx within 24 hr	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.7	4.6
1.0200	Aspirin Rx discharge	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6
1.0300	PCI/Lytic within 12 hr	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.8	4.8
1.0400	Time to lytic	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.1	4.8	3.8
1.0500	Time to balloon for PCI	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.7	3.9
1.0600	B-Blocker within 24 hr	4.1	3.7	3.7	4.6	4.0	4.7
1.0700	B-Blocker at discharge	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.9
1.0800	ACEI Rx in hospital	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.6	3.6	4.7
1.0900	ACEI Rx discharge	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.8	4.2	4.8
1.1000	CCB Discharge	3.9	4.1	3.7	4.2	3.3	4.3
1.1100	LLA Discharge	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.6	1.0	4.9
1.1200	Smoking advice	3.8	4.4	3.9	4.2	3.9	2.8
1.1300	Referral rehab	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.8
1.1400	Stress Test pre-discharge	3.1	3.0	2.9	3.1	2.7	4.4
1.1500	s/c Heparin	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.9	2.9	4.3
1.1600	IV Heparin with tPA	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.9
1.1700	Heparin - other	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.3
1.1800	Cholesterol test w/in 24 hr	3.3	3.2	2.9	3.9	2.8	4.4
1.1900	Documentation of LVEF	3.4	3.7	3.6	3.1	3.8	3.7
1.2010	Angiography if: recurrent ischemiaP	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.3
1.2020	Angiography if: shock/CHF/low BP	4.3	4.7	4.6	3.9	4.1	3.9
1.2030	Angiography if: acute MR, VSD	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.1

Appendix 8 (continued): Summary of Panel Ratings from Initial Round

Candidate Indicator	Overall	Meaningful	Useful	Improvement	Impact	Feasible	
2.0100	Aspirin 30d discharge	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.0	4.4	2.8
2.0210	Beta-blocker 30d discharge	4.1	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.4	3.3
2.0220	Beta-blocker 90d discharge	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.4
2.0230	Beta-blocker 1 yr discharge	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.3	3.0
2.0240	Beta blocker dose	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.2	2.8
2.0310	ACEI 30d discharge	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.1	3.0
2.0320	ACEI 90d discharge	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.2
2.0330	ACEI 1 year discharge	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.0
2.0340	ACEI dose	3.8	3.8	3.6	1.0	3.7	3.1
2.0400	CCB 30d discharge	3.1	3.2	3.0	1.2	3.0	3.2
2.0500	CCB 90d discharge	2.8	2.7	2.7	0.9	2.8	3.1
2.0610	Statins 30d discharge	4.2	4.1	4.4	0.9	3.8	3.2
2.0620	Statins 90d discharge	4.1	3.9	4.0	0.8	4.1	3.3
2.0630	Statins 1 yr discharge	4.2	4.4	4.3	0.9	4.0	3.2
2.0700	Physician visit 30d	3.6	3.4	3.3	0.8	2.9	3.8
3.0100	Waiting time for Cath	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.2	3.7	4.2
3.0200	Waiting time for PCI	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.6	4.3
3.0300	Waiting time for CABG	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.0	3.8	4.6
3.0400	30 day rate of Cath.	3.8	3.1	3.1	3.6	3.0	4.4
3.0500	1 year rate of Cath	3.1	2.6	2.7	3.1	2.6	4.4
3.0600	Rate of PCI	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.2	4.3
3.0700	30d rate of PCI	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	4.8
3.0800	1 yr rate of PCI	3.3	2.7	2.8	3.1	2.6	4.7
3.0900	30d rate of CABG	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.3	4.8
3.1000	1 yr rate of CABG	3.7	3.0	3.1	3.4	2.9	4.7
4.0100	Hospital mortality	4.8	4.7	4.4	3.9	n/a	4.7
4.0200	30d mortality	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.1	n/a	4.3
4.0300	1 yr mortality	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.7	n/a	3.9
4.0400	Recurrent AMI	4.3	4.3	4.1	3.8	n/a	4.0
4.0500	Recurrent angina	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.6	n/a	3.1
4.0600	AMI RA 30d	4.2	4.2	4.1	3.8	n/a	4.1
4.0700	AMI RA 1 yr	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.7	n/a	4.1
4.0800	CHF RA 30d	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.0	n/a	4.0
4.0900	CHF RA 30d	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.8	n/a	3.9
4.1000	Angina RA 30d	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.8	n/a	3.9
4.1100	Angina RA 1 yr	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.3	n/a	3.8
4.1200	Length of stay	4.3	3.4	3.9	3.7	n/a	4.7
4.1300	Length of stay CCU	4.2	3.2	3.8	3.8	n/a	4.6

Appendix 8 (continued): Summary of Panel Ratings from Initial Round

Criteria for Rating Quality Indicators

Meaningfulness				
This is a meaningful measure of the quality of care we deliver				
Not at all meaningful	Somewhat meaningful	Moderately meaningful	Very meaningful	Extremely meaningful

Usefulness				
This measure would be useful in targeting quality improvement initiatives				
Not at all useful	Somewhat useful	Moderately useful	Very useful	Extremely useful

Potential for Improvement in Clinical Practices				
It is possible to improve this aspect of care by changes in health care delivery				
Not at all possible	Somewhat possible	Moderately possible	Very possible	Extremely possible

Impact on Patient Outcomes				
This aspect of care has a serious impact on patient outcome				
Not at all serious	Somewhat serious	Moderately serious	Very serious	Extremely serious

Feasibility of Collecting the Data				
It will be feasible to accurately collect, by chart abstraction or from administrative databases, data needed to assess this measure				
Not at all easy	Somewhat easy	Moderately easy	Very easy	Extremely easy

Overall Value of Inclusion				
Overall Assessment – Considering your ratings on all dimensions, rate this measure overall for inclusion in this project				
Do not include	Little reason to include	Could include	Should include	Must include