

Appendix 8: Summary of Panel Rating from Initial Round

A summary of the ratings from the initial screening round is presented below. Panelists rated the candidate indicators on the dimensions of meaningfulness, usefulness, potential for improvement, impact on outcomes, feasibility and an overall rating. Panelists rated these indicators on a ordinal scale from 1 = do not include, 2 = little reason to include, 3 = could include, 4 = should include, or 5 = must include. Indicators with mean overall rating scores of 4.0 or greater were selected for further discussion and rating by the panellists. Of the remaining indicators with overall rating < 4.0, panel consensus dictated whether these indicators would be selected for the final set of quality indicators.

| Candidate Indicator | Overall | Meaningful | Useful | Improvement | Impact | Feasible |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|--------|-------------|--------|----------|
| 1.010 ACEI discharge | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| 1.020 ACEI dose discharge | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| 1.030 BB discharge | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| 1.040 ASA warfarin CAD | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.2 |
| 1.050 Warfarin AF | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 1.060 Digoxin discharge | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 4.0 |
| 1.070 Spironolactone dis. | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| 1.080 ARB discharge | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 4.3 |
| 1.090 Non-use anti-arrythmics | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| 1.100 Non-use CCB | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 1.110 LVEF in hospital | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| 1.120 Weighed 50% days | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| 1.130 Smoking advice | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| 1.140 Discharge instruction (any) | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 2.7 |
| 1.151 Weight monitoring daily | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| 1.152 Medication | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.1 |
| 1.153 Diet | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| 1.154 Activity level | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| 1.155 Actions advised | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 2.7 |
| 1.156 Follow-up | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.6 |

Appendix 8 (continued): Summary of Panel Ratings from Initial Round

| Candidate Indicator | Overall | Meaningful | Useful | Improvement | Impact | Feasible |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 2.011 ACEI 30 days discharge | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| 2.012 ACEI 90 days discharge | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 3.4 |
| 2.013 ACEI 1 year discharge | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 3.2 |
| 2.014 ACEI dose | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| 2.021 BB 30 days discharge | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| 2.022 BB 90 days discharge | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.4 |
| 2.023 BB 1 year discharge | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| 2.024 BB dose | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| 2.031 ARB 30 days discharge | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| 2.032 ARB 90 days discharge | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| 2.033 ARB 1 year discharge | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| 2.034 ARB dose | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 2.041 Digoxin 30 days discharge | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| 2.042 Digoxin 90 days discharge | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| 2.043 Digoxin 1 year discharge | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| 2.051 Spiron 30 days discharge | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| 2.052 Spiron 90 days discharge | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 |
| 2.053 Spiron 1 year compliance | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.3 |
| 2.061 ASA/warf 30 days discharge | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| 2.062 ASA/warf 90 days discharge | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.2 |
| 2.063 ASA/warf 1 year compliance | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| 2.070 Warfarin AF | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 3.6 |
| 2.080 Non-use anti-arrhythmics | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| 2.090 Non-use CCB | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 2.100 EF 1 month | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 2.110 Follow-up 1 month | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.6 |

Appendix 8 (continued): Summary of Panel Ratings from Initial Round

| Candidate Indicator | | Overall | Meaningful | Useful | Improvement | Impact | Feasible |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---------|------------|--------|-------------|--------|----------|
| 3.010 | Hospital mortality | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.5 | N/A | 4.6 |
| 3.020 | 30 day mortality | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.2 | N/A | 4.3 |
| 3.030 | 1 year mortality | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.1 | N/A | 4.3 |
| 3.040 | Length of stay | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | N/A | 4.6 |
| 3.050 | All-cause readmission 30 day | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.0 | N/A | 4.4 |
| 3.060 | All-cause readmission 1 year | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | N/A | 3.9 |
| 3.070 | CHF readmission 30 day | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.5 | N/A | 4.4 |
| 3.080 | CHF readmission 1 year | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.0 | N/A | 4.1 |
| 3.090 | AMI readmission 30 day | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | N/A | 4.2 |
| 3.100 | AMI readmission 1 year | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | N/A | 3.8 |
| 3.110 | Angian readmission 30 day | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.1 | N/A | 4.0 |
| 3.120 | Angina readmission 1 year | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.9 | N/A | 3.7 |
| 3.130 | ED visits 30 day | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.6 | N/A | 4.0 |
| 3.140 | ED visits 1 year | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.0 | N/A | 3.8 |

Criteria for Rating Quality Indicators

| Meaningfulness | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| This is a meaningful measure of the quality of care we deliver | | | | |
| Not at all meaningful | Somewhat meaningful | Moderately meaningful | Very meaningful | Extremely meaningful |

| Usefulness | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------|
| This measure would be useful in targeting quality improvement initiatives | | | | |
| Not at all useful | Somewhat useful | Moderately useful | Very useful | Extremely useful |

| Potential for Improvement in Clinical Practices | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| It is possible to improve this aspect of care by changes in health care delivery | | | | |
| Not at all possible | Somewhat possible | Moderately possible | Very possible | Extremely possible |

| Impact on Patient Outcomes | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| This aspect of care has a serious impact on patient outcome | | | | |
| Not at all serious | Somewhat serious | Moderately serious | Very serious | Extremely serious |

Criteria for Rating Quality Indicators (continued)

| Feasibility of Collecting the Data | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|
| It will be feasible to accurately collect, by chart abstraction or from administrative databases, data needed to assess this measure | | | | |
| Not at all easy | Somewhat easy | Moderately easy | Very easy | Extremely easy |

| Overall Value of Inclusion | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Overall Assessment – Considering your ratings on all dimensions, rate this measure overall for inclusion in this project | | | | |
| Do not include | Little reason to include | Could include | Should include | Must include |